

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: A303 proposals
Date: 02 April 2022 22:56:54

Dear Sirs,

I am extremely concerned to hear that controversial proposals for the A303 road are being revisited unchanged, for several reasons. Not least of which is that since the original debate a much wider awareness of and concern for climate change prevails in society. The need to reduce emissions is inarguable and must be considered in all highway planning, nevermind this scheme which threatens a World Heritage Site. Importantly, the Environment Act 2021 clearly states the need to let the natural countryside recover. Major earthworks to allow increased vehicular traffic flies in the face of the Act.

The stance now adopted by the National Highways Agency fails to recognise that the scheme was deemed 'significantly adverse' on the immediate environment, by the Secretary of State. The Agency has also failed to amend construction cost estimates for the project, nor any assessment of the carbon emissions which would result. Are alternatives less damaging to Stonehenge being seriously evaluated? If not, why not? As far as I can see no account is being taken of the World Heritage Committee's statement that Stonehenge could be deemed to be 'World Heritage In Danger' if the scheme in its' current form were to be approved.

Taken together, the failures in the process mentioned above make a strong case for an independent review, before the Secretary of State rules on the Development Consent Order for the A303 road scheme.

I look forward to hearing from you,
Yours Sincerely,
Andrew Moore